



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6701
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE IMPORTANCE OF RICE AS FOOD AND INCOME SECURITY CROP IN PUTI-PUTI SUB COUNTY, PALLISA DISTRICT, UGANDA
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Pg1; Line 26: what is the source of the information given Pg 2 ; Line 27 & 41: what is the source of statistics given Pg3; Line 99: the issue of first and second seasons was not covered in the Methodology section Pg7; Line 159-164: The discussion given is not based on findings of the study. Pg8; Line 167-174: the discussion is about what is found in the literature and not relating to study findings. The following cited references do not appear in the list of references; UBOS(2004), World Bank (2001), Bigirwa et al (2005), Very little review of literature was done. The study failed to bring out the importance of rice both as a source of food and as income. The food and insecurity measures were not done. Author only listed returns from different crops produced by respondents without bringing out the contribution of rice to food and income security. The methodology is very weak and as a result no concrete results came out. Author ends up discussing results of related previous studies because of lack of own results.	The source of information has been given. Also, additional information has been included as a back up Pg 2 line 27and 41. The source of statistics is provided The information collected was based on two cropping seasons of 2010/2011 The discussion was re-written based on the findings All the citations are included in the reference list This has been included as part of background. Additionally, since this is not review, I would think a section on literature is not necessary This has been alluded to between line 50 - 53 This was a cross sectional survey intended to validate the importance of rice as food and income security not economic analysis



SDI Review Form 1.6

		to require detail mathematic formulae. Therefore, the methodology is reasonable enough to make inference. However, the discussion was re-written based on the results obtained.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		